Appendix B Statutory consultation responses (listed by road and issue)

Road Name	Number of formal objections	Number of other responses to statutory consultation
Chatsworth Gardens	1	0
Coles Crescent & adjacent section of Drinkwater Road	7	2 ¹
Eliot Drive	1	0
Scott Crescent	5	3
Serenity Close	1	3 ²
Shaftesbury Avenue	0	1
Tintern Way	2	1
Welbeck Road	23 ⁴	11 ³
Total	40	21

Notes:

- 1 One response concerned their address not in CPZ.
- 2 All three responses concerned not in CPZ and stating existing parking problem. The roadway of Serenity Court is not currently public highway although entrance to some Serenity Close addresses also comes out onto Coles Crescent.
- 3 Two representations of support, One a petition attached with a formal objection is referred to in 2.24 to 2.26 of report.
- 4 Five formal objections entirely or substantially about length of CPZ operating hours being too long. Four further objections raised CPZ times as an issue. Two raised issues about effective enforcement and five responses objected to the level of permit charges.

The table on the following pages provides further details on the reasons provided for objections

Reason for objection etc	Welbeck Road & Tintern Way (parts in proposed CPZ)	Tintern Way (part) also Chatsworth Gardens & Shaftesbury Ave	Welbeck Road 123-171&144-194 only & Tintern Way 75-95&64-80	Coles Crescent (part) & Cerise Ct, Drinkwater Rd & Eliot Dr. (1st part)	Serenity Close & adjacent section of Coles Crescent & Eliot Dr (part)	Scott Crescent	Totals overall	Total - Welbeck Road (Reduced ength), Tintern Way, Coles Crescent and adjoining sections as advertised
Do not want to pay to park	<u> </u>	0 E ∃i	<u> </u>	8 6	<u>စီ ပိ</u> 1	3 4	22	12 auc 12
No parking problem	9	1	3	1	2	2	15	4
Permits cost too much	5	0	1	0	0	3	8	1
CPZ hour too long	10	2	6	0	1	0	13	6
Support CPZ principles	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	1
Council Revenue generation	8	3	4	1	1	0	13	5
Affect house prices	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Have not provided reasons for CPZ	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
Will suffer displaced parking	1	3	0	0	2	1	7	0
Relies on visits incl pharmacy	1	0	1	3	0	1	5	4
Relies on visits of friends, family & carers	1	0	1	3	0	1	5	4
Idea of residents without cars	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
Should control businesses without charging residents etc	3	0	0	2	0	2	7	2
Only parking problems caused by Beacon Centre	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Put bollards at entrance to The Arches	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Should stop part way down Scott Cres.	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
CPZ in Welbeck Road only	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1

Reason for objection etc	Welbeck Road & Tintern Way (parts in proposed CPZ)	Tintern Way (part) also Chatsworth Gardens & Shaftesbury Ave	Welbeck Road 123-171&144-194 only & Tintern Way 75-95&64-80	Coles Crescent (part) & Cerise Ct, Drinkwater Rd & Eliot Dr. (1 st part)	Serenity Close & adjacent section of Coles Crescent & Eliot Dr (part)	Scott Crescent	Totals overall	Total - Welbeck Road (Reduced length), Tintern Way, Coles Crescent and adjoining sections as advertised
Parking restrictions not enforced	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	2
Existing parking restrictions sufficient	3	0	1	0	0	0	3	1
Nowhere near shops etc	4	1	2	0	0	0	5	2
There will not be enough space	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	1
Do not want yellow lines or permits	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Change car frequently so would be difficult	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
People will harden front gardens & damage environment	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Friends help by bringing heavy shopping	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Does not go far enough	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0
not statutory objection	9	2	9	1	0	3	15	10
Support CPZ	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	2